

Delineating soil conditions in a nitrate vulnerable zone using field-scale electrical resistivity profiling mapping

Dr. Donato Cillis,
Department of Applied Soil Ecology,
University of Padova, Padova, Veneto, Italy

Abstract

Venice Tidal pond is an incredibly heterogeneous climate molded by regular changes and anthropogenic tensions. The region is an especially weak framework portrayed by high spatial geomorphologic inconstancy. In the site specific crop the board, characterized as the best methodologies to oversee heterogeneous farmlands, can possibly amplify rural creation while protecting soil and water assets. This work was pointed toward recognizing and portraying spatial changeability inside the fields regarding soil fruitfulness and useful potential utilizing accuracy agribusiness standards. Programmed Resistivity Profiling (ARP) was carried out to concentrate on spatial inconstancy of the field and to characterize the best restriction of twenty soil inspecting focuses.

Three years' verifiable yield maps were utilized to decide homogeneous zones inside the review region. The utilization of a fluffy c-implies bunching calculation prompted order of four homogeneous zones, which were relegated with useful possibilities utilizing an ANOVA trial of soil highlights and verifiable yield information. Such order was approved by an examination of the homogeneous zone's useful potential with five-year normal creation.

Key Word: homogeneous zones, Heterogeneous climate, Agronomic yield, Soil fluctuation, Soil-electrical-resistivity. Painless soil examination.

Introduction

The Venice Tidal pond (Italy, North-Adriatic Ocean) is a very heterogeneous climate adapted by normal and anthropogenic tensions (De Franco et al., 2009). The region is an especially weak framework described by high spatial geomorphologic changeability (Scudiero et al., 2013).

With respect to lands, crop-yield reaction is impacted by spatial and fleeting soil fluctuation (Basso et al., 2016; Pezzuolo et al., 2017). Subsequently, fluctuation of soil highlights inside a field

can't be overseen utilizing customary cultivating rehearses (Robert, 2002). Site-specific crop the board, characterized as the best procedures to oversee heterogeneous farmlands, can possibly expand agrarian creation while protecting soil and water assets (Wallace, 1994; Pezzuolo et al., 2014). Reception of new innovations to research the field-scale fluctuation (Pezzuolo et al., 2016; Dubbini et al., 2017) is the initial step to accomplish a fruitful site-explicit administration plan (Marinello et al., 2017a). Notwithstanding acknowledgment of the heterogeneous idea of soil includes, the absence of delicate devices to distinguish unobtrusive movements among soil properties has restricted spatial portrayal of such changeability. Truth be told, the execution of conventional examining techniques is lacking for surveying the interrelated physical, substance, and natural soil properties liable for varieties in crop yield (Cillis et al., 2017).

Over the course of the past 10 years, non-disastrous geophysical sensors intended to quantify the dirt electrical conductivity (or its opposite resistivity) have been widely used to plan the complicated examples in soil conditions that add to agronomic yield potential (Peralta and Costa, 2013; Marinello et al., 2017b). The motivation behind Electrical Resistivity (trauma center) overviews is to decide the resistivity dispersion of the encompassing soil volume (Johnson et al., 2001). Artificially created electric flows are applied to the dirt and the subsequent potential contrasts are estimated.

Potential distinction designs give data on the type of subsurface heterogeneities and their electrical properties (Kearey et al., 2002; Lardo et al., 2012). Elevated degree of soil network heterogeneity prompts an adjustment of trama center recognition that permits to more readily explore soil-spatial fluctuation. Trama center of the dirt can be considered as an intermediary for the changeability of a dirt's actual properties (Banton et al., 1997; Samouelian et al., 2005; Basso et al., 2010) including surface (Brus et al., 1992; James et al., 2003; Saey et al., 2009), type (Anderson-Cook et al., 2002), and dampness (Reedy and Scanlon, 2003; Sherlock and McDonnell, 2003; Zhu et al., 2010). These benefits might incorporate lower cost, expanded limit and effectiveness, and all the more opportune outcomes (Marinello et al., 2015). Besides, the capacity of a sensor to give high goal portrayal, when contrasted with inspecting and expulsion strategies, considers an increment of generally spatial assessment exactness regardless of whether the precision of individual estimations is lower (Valckx et al., 2009; Sudduth et al., 2013). Moreover, emergency room can be executed as a backhanded proportion of other soil properties (Jaynes, 1996) and in this way utilized as a mark of yield creation.

The rising number of soil and yield sensors gives an open door to meaning of a site-specific crop the board plan, and empowers the synergistic utilization of perceptions from various sensors for a superior comprehension of land processes (Marinello et al., 2016, for example, spatial varieties or depiction of homogeneous zones at ranch scale (Exhaust et al., 2005). In this respects, Accuracy Cultivating (PF) assumes a significant part in term of inside field the executives changeability. As a matter of fact, PF depicts the utilization of innovations, standards and methodologies that are dynamically overseen over reality to increment crop creation and safeguard ecological assets. (McBratney et al., 2005). Free administration of spatial and fleeting inconstancy of various part of a field is one of the essential benefits of PF (Basso et al., 2001; 2007). In addition, variable rate medicines (VRT) on stable homogenous zones advance high harvest yields, work on financial returns and decrease negative ecological results (Bertocco et al., 2008; Basso et al., 2016).

The current work means to concentrate inside ranch soil fluctuation utilizing a multi-profundity programmed resistivity profiler (ARP©, Geocarta, France). The goal was to test the capacity to outline the homogeneous zones at ranch scale and useful expected through an examination of the connection among resistivity and authentic yield information.

Investigation of the field-fluctuation

To get a quantitative portrayal of inconstancy, field-information with respect to soil and yields highlights should be gathered precisely. To gather information illustrative of the entire field, a non-irregular example of testing was utilized. The meaning of homogeneous zones can be accomplished in various ways, exploiting proximal or distant sensors giving data on soil, vegetation, and yield. The review began in September 2014 preceding the new harvest cycle began.

The investigation of soil-inconstancy incorporates data got from flying picture, yield-guides of earlier years, and soil-examination. Satellite picture gave primer data about the field, and it was likewise helpful to characterize the limits and select the yield and soil resistivity information having a place with the review region. Furthermore, it addresses the principal layer in which every one of the gathered information were covered for their addition.

Mechanical improvements in soil examination have prompted new, harmless investigation techniques. Such techniques are not in light of assortment of soil tests in any case, permit high-goal portrayal of the dirt surface and can possibly give data at various profundities. Painless strategies don't supplant exemplary soil testing draws near yet can improve proficiency which takes into consideration

a decrease of the quantity of soil-tests. One of the main painless soil investigation strategies is the Programmed Resistivity Profiling (ARP© GEOCARTA, Paris, France) (Tabbagh et al., 2000). ARP is an in a hurry multi-profundity resistivity method ready to quickly foster a precise resistivity profile of soils. It is made by four sets out of toothed wheels that capability as cathodes. The principal sets of wheels infuse current into the ground while the accompanying three sets of wheels, separated at expanding distances, fill in as getting terminals.

The hardware is gotten through the field to gather information at three distinct profundities all the while (0-0.5; 0-1; 0-2 m) which is then referred to continuously by the differential worldwide situating framework (DGPS). Obtained spatial data and calculation of a computerized rise Model (DEM) gives geographical qualities as incline and position; producing correlative data that works with the understanding of resistivity variety and the meaning of homogeneous zones. The ARP framework makes the capacity to both break down the fluctuation of the whole fields' surface and gather data at three unique profundity levels. Also, all information is geo-referred to, hence, the ARP framework can be utilized as a pointer for exact spatial acknowledgment of soil portrayals. In this review, the resistivity estimation was taken on cuts across separated 5 m separated. Twenty testing focuses were chosen to confirm field changeability.

For each-example point, soil tests were gathered at three profundities (0-0.1; 0.1-0.3; 0.3-0.6 m) to evaluate pH, soil-natural matter (SOM), surface, saltiness, electrical-conductivity, nitrogen and phosphorus content. All of the example focuses were geo-referred to for future examination of changes in soil highlights. Crop-yield information was gathered and geo-referred to by a join outfitted with sensors ready to survey yield at a particular point (AgroCOM - Claas Agrosystems GmbH Germany) and a DGPS framework that gives confinement of yield-information. The review considered three years of verifiable yield-maps, explicitly corn-2012, soybean-2013, and wheat-2014. To get a delegate data of the genuine field crop creation, the yield-planning framework was adjusted every year for the various harvests adhering to the guidance given by the organization. Moreover, yield-maps were post-handled, separated, and changed prior to beginning the examination.

Yield-maps were intrigued by the addition of the genuine harvest explicit weight recorded during the download activity and the genuine working width of each line. Plus, maps were sifted of out-layers recorded during the columns opening or specialized issues of consolidate. Along these lines, yield maps got a mistake under 5% contrasted with the genuine field creation (Robinson and Metternicht, 2005). At last, the grain creation upsides of the various yields were standardized. It

prompted characterize the field sides in which the harvest yield was higher or lesser than the typical field yield. Information standardization permitted to get values depicting the creation inside the field utilizing the genuine yield data communicated as a rate. In this manner was feasible to look at the yield-information got from various harvests and get a more precise examination.

Electrical resistivity, soil highlights, and harvest yields

Soil-electrical-resistivity can be viewed as an intermediary for the spatial and transient fluctuation of numerous other actual soil properties (Samouelian et al., 2005). As a matter of fact, it changes relying upon soil-condition concerning surface, structure, SOM, mass thickness, and dampness.

In this concentrate the recently depicted soil highlights were all thought of. In such manner, soil-resistivity can assume a significant part in the investigation of soil-spatial changeability and in the meaning of homogeneous zones. The main benefit connected to the usage of a harmless gadget is the possibility to describe the entire surface of the field. Then, the spatial soil fluctuation could be made sense of because of the connection between's dirt resistivity and soil-investigation got from soil test assortments.

Moreover, variety in yield is unquestionably connected with changes in soil properties (Corwin et al., 2003; Li et al., 2007; Savabi et al., 2013). Thus, to confirm this standard, 2014 harvest cycle yields were anticipated utilizing a different straight relapse. Crop yield expectation was acted everything considered with the reason to acquire more data about portrayal of homogeneous zones. At long last, ARP and verifiable yield information were utilized and joined to characterize homogeneous zones.

Homogeneous zones portrayal and yield likely definition

The examination was directed utilizing electrical resistivity and soil highlights relative just to the upper soil segment (0-0.5m), addressing the dirt profile generally used by underlying foundations of the harvests developing on the review region. With this supposition, just the main level ARP information were thought of. The weighted normal was determined of the three levels (0-0.1; 0.1-0.3; 0.3-0.6 m) soil properties values got from lab tests. To helper investigation, yield information, ARP information, and soil highlights values were normalized to construct a 20x20 m reference framework with a sum of around 590 control focuses (pixels) utilizing Arc Map 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA).

In this manner, every pixel of the lattice has a typical worth of yield and ARP as a particular mean worth, while 20 of the 590 pixels have an extra data on soil highlights. Homogeneous zones were portrayed utilizing a fluffy c-implies solo grouping calculation (Odeh et al., 1992). This calculation was executed in the Administration Zone Expert (MZA) programming (Fridgen et al., 2004). The measurable investigation concerning the connection framework, various straight relapse, and the ANOVA test to characterize the useful capability of each homogeneous zone were performed utilizing Statgraphics Centurion XVII (StatPoint, Inc., 2005). At last, the useful potential got from the review was tried contrasting the useful reaction related with the 2015 harvest cycle and the 2007-2011 typical yield of the Venice area.

Results and discussion

Connection between crop yield and soil highlights

Toward the finish of information handling, every pixel of the lattice had both the verifiable yield information and the electrical resistivity esteem. Not watered overseeing framework and dry spell conditions caused low-creation in corn and soybean development, while wheat acquired yield in-accordance with the typical creation portraying the area. The guides give proof of a comparative circulation during the years investigated. As a matter of fact, the southern piece of the review region is nearer to the coast and is efficiently portrayed by lower crop yield when contrasted with the northern side.

Then again, a similar pattern was noticed for the electrical resistivity estimation. In particular, resistivity esteems logically diminished from the beach front side (for example from south to north). This pattern was upheld by the dirt example examination. To be sure, those focuses situated on the southern piece of the field had different physic-synthetic elements contrasted with the focuses found farther from the coast. By and large, the initial ones were described by high electrical resistivity, high level of sand, and low SOM. Moreover, with the backing of ARP examination, a few focuses were remembered for the areas impacted by saltwater interruption, showing extremely low resistivity and high saltiness. Critical relationship was seen between electrical resistivity and soil inspecting highlights inside the main profundity level of ARP information. This is because of the comparable profundity level researched by ARP information (0-0.5m) and soil test assortment (0-0.6m).

Then again, a critical relationship between's 2014 harvest yield, surface, and SOM was found. In this way, a different direct relapse model to foresee crop yield utilizing soil highlights and verifiable

yield information was applied. A retrogressive stepwise capability was utilized to get the easiest model with the most reduced number of factors and most noteworthy relationship. Accordingly, eq.1 shows the best reaction between the wheat model and the factors most influencing crop yield concerning soil highlights portraying the review region. The model likewise remembers a variable addressing the electrical resistivity for the profound layer of soil profile (0-2m), regardless of whether this layer isn't intrigued by the roots movement of the concentrated on crops. This is because of the specific area where the review region is found. Truth be told, the presence of subterranean particular channels permits saltwater interruption which influences the harvest yield. Subsequently, the presence of this variable in the model implies that ARP examination address an obligated roundabout non-damaging technique to concentrate on soil fluctuation.

The relapse showed a R^2 of 0.70 and a mean outright mistake (MAE) of 4.44. All dirt boundaries were critical at $p < 0.05$ or underneath. The examination of difference (ANOVA) gave a huge, $F= 9.06$.

The model depicted around 70% of the all out yield fluctuation, proposing that different elements impacted wheat crop yield in 2014 (for example organic, meteorological, and anthropogenic elements).

Homogeneous zones portrayal and yield possible definition

The homogeneous zones portrayals were gotten from the interjection of ARP and verifiable harvest yield information. Integrating this technique, it is feasible to play out the investigation with a bigger arrangement of information (north of 25 pixels/ha) proficiently. Furthermore, these two information sources address spatial and transient changeability. Spatial inconstancy is characterized by electrical resistivity acquired by ARP gadget, rigorously connected with soil highlights influencing crop yield; and worldly fluctuation is addressed by the verifiable yield maps connected with various harvests.

Arrangement and meaning of the homogeneous zone was satisfied by contributing information into the MZA programming. Then, the MZA's calculation was set based on the change covariance framework reaction featured by the product. The ideal number of homogeneous zones was recognized by the minimization of the fluffiness execution record (FPI) and the standardized characterization entropy file (NCE) (Odeh et al., 1992). Four homogeneous zones fulfilling these prerequisites were then characterized. At long last, the recognizable proof of useful potential was credited to the

homogeneous zones. The ANOVA trial of those dirt testing guides having a place toward the different homogeneous zones characterized by MZA investigation was performed in like manner.

The ANOVA test thought about soil highlights (electrical resistivity, mud, sand, SOM) and three years yield information. Accordingly, the homogeneous zone III displayed the most elevated levels of dirt and SOM and least sand content contrasted with different zones. Taking into account electrical resistivity, homogeneous zone IV had a place with an alternate class contrasted and zones I and II. These distinctions were focused on by verifiable yield reaction. Truth be told, the ANOVA trial of 2013 yield shows that homogeneous zone II had the most reduced yield, showing low creation in the 2012 and 2014 harvest cycles. To make the contrast between zones more understood, the useful capability of the four homogeneous zones were renamed, crediting an expansion in useful potential from A to D.

To check the prescient limit of the model practically speaking, such useful potential was considered in contrast to a correlation between the normal creation of the Venice territory and the exploratory locales' 2015 harvest yield. The examination showed zones An and B's yields were around 24% and 12.2% lower, separately, than reference creation; while zones C and D's yields were around 9.6% and 14.2% higher, individually, contrasted with similar reference creations in the Venice territory.

Conclusion

Painless soil examination techniques address a significant apparatus to more readily concentrate on soil changeability and improve the productivity of conventional soil inspecting. Furthermore, information got from ARP examination shows a relationship between's dirt resistivity and soil elements, for example, surface, SOM, saltiness and so on. In this work the spatial changeability of wheat creation is made sense of by soil highlights like electrical resistivity, sand, and accessible phosphorous. Thus, the use of a fluffy c-implies bunching calculation prompted the order of the review region in four homogeneous zones, which suggested the useful potential through the ANOVA trial of the dirt elements and verifiable yield information. This pattern was approved by the correlation of the homogeneous zone's useful potential with 5-year normal creation information. Pushing ahead, it is feasible to consider accuracy farming advancements as helpful devices to study and oversee changing soil fruitfulness inside fields.

Reference

1. Albarenque, S.M., Basso, B., Caviglia, O.P., Melchiori, R.J.M., 2016 Spatio-temporal nitrogen fertilizer response in maize: field study and modeling approach. *Agron. J.*, 108: 2110-2122.
2. Alvarez, R., 2005. A review of nitrogen fertilizer and conservation tillage effects on soil organic carbon storage. *Soil Use Manage.* 21 (1), 38-52.
3. Angers, D., Eriksen-Hamel, N., 2008. Full-inversion tillage and organic carbon distribution in soil profiles: a meta-analysis. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 72, 1370-1374.
4. Backman, J., Oksanen, T., Visala, A., 2013. Applicability of the ISO 11783 network in a distributed combined guidance system for agricultural machines Original Research Article. *Biosyst. Eng.* 114 (3), 306-317 (March 2013).
5. Barut, Z.B., Ertekin, C., Karaagac, H.A., 2011. Tillage effects on energy use for corn silage in Mediterranean Coastal of Turkey. *Energy* 36: 5466-5475.
6. Basso, B., Ritchie, J.T., Pierce, F.J., Braga, R.P., Jones, J.W., 2001. Spatial validation of crop models for precision agriculture. *Agric. Syst.* 68(2): 97-112. DOI:10.1016/S0308-521X(00)00063-9.
7. Basso, B., Fiorentino, C., Cammarano, D., Cafiero, G., Dardanelli, J., 2012b. Analysis of rainfall distribution on spatial and temporal patterns of wheat yield in Mediterranean environment. *Eur. J. Agron.* 41: 52-65.
8. Bertocco, M., Basso, B., Sartori, L., Martin, E. C., 2008. Evaluating energy efficiency of site-specific tillage in maize in NE Italy. *Bioresource Technology* 99: 6957-6965.
9. Blackmore, S., Godwin, R. J., Fountas, S., 2003. The Analysis of Spatial and Temporal Trends in Yield Map Data over Six Years. *Biosyst. Eng.* 84 (4): 455-466.
10. Blevins, R.L., Frye, W.W., 1993. Conservation tillage: an ecological approach to soil management. *Adv. Agron.* 51, 33-78.
11. Bocchi, S., Castrignanò, A., 2007. Identification of different potential production areas for corn in Italy through multitemporal yield map analysis. *Field Crops Res.* 102: 185-197.
12. Brus, D.J., Knotters, M., van Dooremolen, W.A., van Kernebeek, P., Van Seeters, R.J.M., 1992. The use of electromagnetic measurements of apparent soil electrical conductivity to predict the boulder clay depth. *Geoderma* 55:79-93.
13. Del Grosso, S.J., Parton, W.J., Mosier, A.R., Ojima, D.S., Potter, C.S., Borken, W., Brumme, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Crill, P.M., Dobbie, K.E., Smith, K.A., 2000b. General CH₄ oxidation model and comparisons of CH₄ oxidation in natural and managed systems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 14:999-1019.
14. Derpsch, R., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A., Hongwen, L., 2010. Current status of adoption of no-till farming in the world and some of its main benefits. *Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng.* 3: 1-26.
15. Doll, J.E., Robertson, G. P., editors 2015. *The ecology of agricultural ecosystems: long-term research on the path to sustainability.* Oxford University Press, New York, New York, USA.
16. Giola, P., Basso, B., Pruneddu, G., Giunta, F., Jones, J.W., 2012. Impact of manure and slurry applications on soil nitrate in a maize-triticale rotation: field study and long term simulation analysis. *Eur. J. Agron.*, 38: 43-53.

17. González-Sánchez, E.J., Ordóñez-Fernández, R., Carbonell-Bojollo, R., Veroz-González, O., Gil-Ribes, J.A., 2012. Meta-analysis on atmospheric carbon capture in Spain through the use of conservation agriculture. *Soil Till. Res.* 122: 52-60.
18. Lal, R., 2001. Potential of desertification control to sequester carbon and mitigate the greenhouse effect. *Clim Change* 15: 35-72.
19. Lal, R., 2004. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science* 304: 1623-1627.
20. Lal, R., 2008. Carbon sequestration. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 363: 815-830.
21. Lal, R., Follett, R., 2009. Soils and climate change. In: 2nd. In: Lal, R., Follett, R. (Eds.), *Soil Carbon Sequestration and the Greenhouse Effect* 57 (Madison: SSSA Special Publication).
22. Millar, D., Beaton, D., Clark, B., Bryson, R., 2001. *Agriknowledge Guide*. HGCA, London.
23. Miller, S.A., Landis, A.E., Theis, T.L., 2007. Environmental tradeoffs of biobased production. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 5176-5182.
24. Reicosky, D.C., 1997. Tillage-induced CO₂ emission from soil. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 49,
25. Robinson, T. P., Metternicht, G., 2005. Comparing the performance of techniques to improve the quality of yield maps. *Agric. Syst.*, 85(1), 19-41.
26. Saey, T., Simpson, D., Vermeersch, H., Cockx, L., Van Meirvenne, M., 2009. Comparing the EM38DD and DUALEM-21S sensors for depth-to-clay mapping. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 73:7-12.
27. Samouelian, A., Cousin, I., Tabbagh, A., Bruand, A., Richard, G., 2005. Electrical resistivity survey in soil science: a review. *Soil Till. Res.*, 83, 173-193.
28. Sartori, L., Basso, B., Bertocco, M., Oliviero, G., 2005. Energy use and economic evaluation of a three-year crop rotation for conservation and organic farming in NE Italy. *Biosyst. Eng.* 91 245-256.
29. Tjink, F.G.J., Maerlaender, B., 1998. Introduction in soil compaction and compression in relation to sugar beet. *Advances in Sugar Beet Research*, Vol. 1. Brussels, Belgium.
30. Unakitan, G., Hurma, H., Yilmaz, F., 2010. An analysis of energy use efficiency of canola production in Turkey. *Energy* 35: 3623-3627.
31. Williams, J. R., Jones, C.A., Dyke P.T., 1984. A modeling approach to determining the relationship between erosion and soil productivity. *Transactions of the ASAE* 27:129-144.
32. Xu, W.B., Hong, Y.T., Chen, X.H., Li, C.S., 1999. Study on the emission of N₂O in agricultural land - a case of Guizhou Province. *China Sci. (D)* 29 (5), 450-456.
33. Zhang, N., Wang, M., Wang, N., 2002. Precision agriculture - a worldwide overview. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 36: 113-132.
34. Zhang, X., Shi, L., Jia, X., Seielstad, G., Helgason, C., 2009. Zone mapping application for precision- farming: a decision support tool for variable rate application. *Precision Agric.* 11: 103-114.
35. Zheng, B., Campbell, J. B., de Beurs, K. M., 2012. Remote sensing of crop residue cover using multi- temporal Landsat imagery. *Remote Sens. Env.* 117: 177-183.